



Thought experiment to the floor. Suppose I designed & conducted a PDA research. I created PDA definitions based on my experience. Ignore how PDA can be diagnosed at lower diagnosed thresholds.

Ignore how PDA can be diagnosed in non-autistic persons.

...

...

Ignore DSM-5 threshold for when something becomes "pathological", i.e., threshold for PDA.

Ignore accepted understandings anxiety is not a feature autism. Used ADOS which is not design to assess for PDA features.

····

Only diagnosed PDA in persons I thought were autistic. Only diagnosed PDA in a dual ASD + PDA traits diagnosis.

•••

... Would anyone be surprised if the research results, showed qualitative & quantitative differences in my three groups:

- autistic with PDA.

- autistic without PDA.

- non-autistic trauma based group.

Seriously wondering this?

•••

Could one reasonably describe such research as "more authoritative clinically-based research" (assuming I was a clinician and research was conducted in a clinical setting)?

@threadreaderapp please could you unroll?

Thank you in advance.